

Introduction

Non-degenerate (possibly higher order) Lagrangian

The phase space

Ostrogradsky variables

How to get to the bottom of the variables

Why it might be of interest today (just a touch)

Ostrogradsky theorem (from 1850)

Marián Fecko

Department of Theoretical Physics

Comenius University

Bratislava

fecko@fmph.uniba.sk

Student Colloquium and School on Mathematical Physics,
Stará Lesná, Slovakia, August 16 - 22, 2015

Introduction

Non-degenerate (possibly higher order) Lagrangian

The phase space

Ostrogradsky variables

How to get to the bottom of the variables

Why it might be of interest today (just a touch)

We will learn:

- What the **phase** space of **higher** order **Lagrange** equations looks like

Introduction

Non-degenerate (possibly higher order) Lagrangian
The phase space
Ostrogradsky variables
How to get to the bottom of the variables
Why it might be of interest today (just a touch)

We will learn:

- What the **phase** space of **higher** order **Lagrange** equations looks like
- What is Ostrogradsky's proposition for **canonical** coordinates

Introduction

Non-degenerate (possibly higher order) Lagrangian
The phase space
Ostrogradsky variables
How to get to the bottom of the variables
Why it might be of interest today (just a touch)

We will learn:

- What the **phase** space of **higher** order **Lagrange** equations looks like
- What is Ostrogradsky's proposition for **canonical** coordinates
- What is Ostrogradsky's proposition for the **Hamiltonian**

Introduction

Non-degenerate (possibly higher order) Lagrangian
The phase space
Ostrogradsky variables
How to get to the bottom of the variables
Why it might be of interest today (just a touch)

We will learn:

- What the **phase** space of **higher** order **Lagrange** equations looks like
- What is Ostrogradsky's proposition for **canonical** coordinates
- What is Ostrogradsky's proposition for the **Hamiltonian**
- What is the **most important feature** of **the** Hamiltonian system

We will learn:

- What the **phase** space of **higher** order **Lagrange** equations looks like
- What is Ostrogradsky's proposition for **canonical** coordinates
- What is Ostrogradsky's proposition for the **Hamiltonian**
- What is the **most important feature** of **the** Hamiltonian system
- How one could "**come to**" Ostrogradsky's variables

We will learn:

- What the **phase** space of **higher** order **Lagrange** equations looks like
- What is Ostrogradsky's proposition for **canonical** coordinates
- What is Ostrogradsky's proposition for the **Hamiltonian**
- What is the **most important feature** of **the** Hamiltonian system
- How one could "**come to**" Ostrogradsky's variables
- Why it might be of interest **today** (just a touch)

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Non-degenerate (possibly higher order) Lagrangian
- 3 The phase space
- 4 Ostrogradsky variables
- 5 How to get to the bottom of the variables
- 6 Why it might be of interest today (just a touch)

1-st order Lagrangian $L(x, \dot{x})$

We have standard **Lagrange equations**

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^a} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^a} = 0 \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (1)$$

It is a system of **2-nd order** equations

$$Q_a(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) = 0 \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (2)$$

We would like to **isolate** the **highest** (= 2-nd) derivative, i.e. write it in the form

$$\ddot{x}^a = F^a(x, \dot{x}) \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (3)$$

1-st order Lagrangian $L(x, \dot{x})$ (2)

Well, in more detail, the system has the structure

$$A_{ab}(x, \dot{x})\ddot{x}^b = B_a(x, \dot{x}) \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (4)$$

where

$$A_{ab}(x, \dot{x}) := \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{x}^a \partial \dot{x}^b}$$

So, the "Newtonian" form (3) is possible iff the matrix A_{ab} is invertible. We speak then of non-degenerate Lagrangian:

$$\det \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{x}^a \partial \dot{x}^b} \neq 0$$

non-degenerate Lagrangian (1-st order) (5)

2-nd order Lagrangian $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$

We have (a bit less standard) **Lagrange equations**

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^a} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^a} + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}^a} = 0 \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (6)$$

It is a system of **4-th order** equations

$$Q_a(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}}) = 0 \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (7)$$

We would like to **isolate** the **highest** (= 4-th) derivative, i.e. write it in the form

$$\ddot{\ddot{x}}^a = F^a(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}}) \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (8)$$

2-nd order Lagrangian $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$ (2)

Well, in more detail, the system has the structure

$$A_{ab}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) \ddot{x}^b = B_a(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{x}) \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (9)$$

where

$$A_{ab}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) := \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \ddot{x}^a \partial \ddot{x}^b}$$

So, the "Newtonian" form (8) is possible iff the matrix A_{ab} is invertible. We speak then of non-degenerate Lagrangian:

$$\det \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \ddot{x}^a \partial \ddot{x}^b} \neq 0$$

non-degenerate Lagrangian (2-nd order)

(10)

3-rd order Lagrangian $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x})$

We have (still less standard) **Lagrange equations**

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^a} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^a} + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}^a} - \frac{d^3}{dt^3} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dddot{x}^a} = 0 \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (11)$$

It is a system of **6-th order** equations

$$Q_a(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x} \equiv x^{a(2)}, \dots, x^{a(6)}) = 0 \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (12)$$

We would like to **isolate** the **highest** (= 6-th) derivative, i.e. write it in the form

$$x^{a(6)} = F^a(x, \dots, x^{(5)}) \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (13)$$

3-rd order Lagrangian $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}})$ (2)

Well, in more detail, the system has the structure

$$A_{ab}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}})x^{b(6)} = B_a(x, \dot{x}, \dots, x^{a(5)}) \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (14)$$

where

$$A_{ab}(x, \dot{x}, \dots, x^{a(3)}) := \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}^a \partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}^b}$$

So, the "Newtonian" form (13) is possible **iff** the matrix A_{ab} is **invertible**. We speak then of non-degenerate Lagrangian:

$$\boxed{\det \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}^a \partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}^b} \neq 0} \quad \text{non-degenerate Lagrangian (3-rd order)} \quad (15)$$

k-th order Lagrangian $L(x, \dots, x^{(k)})$

Hopefully all boring details not needed. Clearly in the case of

$$\boxed{\det \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x^{a(k)} \partial x^{b(k)}} \neq 0} \quad \text{non-degenerate Lagrangian (k-th order)} \quad (16)$$

Lagrange equations may be written in the "Newtonian" form

$$x^{a(2k)} = F^a(x, \dots, x^{(2k-1)}) \quad a = 1, \dots, n \quad (17)$$

Phase space for Lagrange equations

Let's adopt the definition: **Points** of the **phase space** are given as **initial conditions** corresponding to Lagrange equations.

In **natural** coordinates

$$L(x, \dot{x}) : \text{phase space} \leftrightarrow (x_0, \dot{x}_0) \quad (18)$$

$$L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) : \text{phase space} \leftrightarrow (x_0, \dot{x}_0, \ddot{x}_0, \ddot{\ddot{x}}_0) \quad (19)$$

$$L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}}) : \text{phase space} \leftrightarrow (x_0, x_0^{(1)}, \dots, x_0^{(5)}) \quad (20)$$

$$L(x, \dot{x}, \dots, x^{(k)}) : \text{phase space} \leftrightarrow (x_0, \dot{x}_0, \dots, x_0^{(2k-1)}) \quad (21)$$

Phase space for Lagrange equations (2)

Then trivially holds: If we know our **position** in the phase space **now**, at time t , we are, in principle, able to predict our position in the phase space in the (near) **future**, at time $t + \epsilon$.

And iterate the procedure.

(We simply solve Lagrange equations using initial conditions.)

This means, however, that **the dynamics** may be written by sure as a system of **first order** equations.

Phase space for Lagrange equations (3)

Now recall that the phase space is always **even**-dimensional.
 So there is **a chance** that what we really get as the first order system will be system of **Hamiltonian** equations

$$\dot{x}_i = \partial H / \partial p_i \quad \dot{p}_i = -\partial H / \partial x_i \quad (22)$$

We all know this **is** the case for **1-st order** Lagrangians: Just set

$$x^a(x, \dot{x}) := x^a \quad (23)$$

$$p_a(x, \dot{x}) := \partial L / \partial \dot{x}^a \quad (24)$$

$$H := p_a \dot{x}^a - L \quad (25)$$

Phase space for Lagrange equations (4)

However, it is **far from being clear** for **higher** order case since a **generic** first order system is far from always Hamiltonian.

What **Ostrogradsky** explicitly demonstrated as long ago as in **1850** is that **the dynamics** given by Lagrange equations **is always Hamiltonian**. (Plus a strange feature of this particular dynamics.)

So, he found higher order analogues of (23), (24) and (25) such that equations (22) hold.

Ostrogradsky variables for $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$

Ostrogradsky proposes **new coordinates** on the phase space:

$$(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}}) \mapsto (x^1, x^2, p_1, p_2) \quad (26)$$

where

$$x^1 := x \quad p_1 := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} \quad (27)$$

$$x^2 := \dot{x} \quad p_2 := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} \quad (28)$$

Hamiltonian reads

$$H := p_1 \dot{x} + p_2 \ddot{x} - L \quad (29)$$

Then, one can check that (6) is equivalent to (22)

Is it simply the usual Legendre transformation?

In Woodard [3] one can read:

"Ostrogradsky's Hamiltonian is obtained by Legendre transforming on $\dot{x} = x^{(1)}$ and $\ddot{x} = x^{(2)}$..."

Well, general formulas for the Legendre transformation read

$$(x_i, y_a) \xrightarrow{L} (x_i, z_a) \quad z_a(x, y) = \partial L(x, y) / \partial y_a \quad (30)$$

$$(x_i, z_a) \xrightarrow{H} (x_i, y_a) \quad y_a(x, z) = \partial H(x, z) / \partial z_a \quad (31)$$

where

$$H(x_i, z_a) = z_a y_a - L \equiv (\text{new})_a (\text{old})_a - L \quad (32)$$

Is it simply the usual Legendre transformation? (2)

We see from (26) that the old-new pair is

$$(\ddot{x}, \ddot{x}') \mapsto (p_1, p_2) \quad (33)$$

whereas (x, \dot{x}) are nothing but "spectators" (x_i in (30)).

However, from the Hamiltonian (29), the old-new pair should be

$$(\dot{x}, \ddot{x}) \mapsto (p_1, p_2) \quad (34)$$

In addition, the expression $p_1 = \dots$ in (27) is not of the needed form (30) (the second term is excessive).

So, even though the structure of the Ostrogradsky Hamiltonian (29) resembles Legendre transformation, actually it is **not the case**.

Ostrogradsky variables for $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}})$

Ostrogradsky proposes **new coordinates** on the phase space:

$$(x, \dot{x}, \dots, x^{(5)}) \mapsto (x^1, x^2, x^3, p_1, p_2, p_3) \quad (35)$$

where

$$x^1 := x \quad p_1 := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}} \right) \right) \quad (36)$$

$$x^2 := \dot{x} \quad p_2 := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}} \right) \quad (37)$$

$$x^3 := \ddot{x} \quad p_3 := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}} \quad (38)$$

Hamiltonian reads

$$H := p_1 \dot{x} + p_2 \ddot{x} + p_3 \ddot{\ddot{x}} - L \quad (39)$$

Then, one can check that (11) is equivalent to (22)

Main feature of the dynamics: instability

$L(x, \dot{x})$:

$$H(x_1, p_1) = p_1 \dot{x} - L \quad (40)$$

$$\equiv p_1 \dot{x}(x_1, p_1) - L(x_1, \dot{x}(x_1, p_1)) \quad (41)$$

$L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$:

$$H(x_1, x_2, p_1, p_2) = p_1 \dot{x} + p_2 \ddot{x} - L \quad (42)$$

$$\equiv p_1 x_2 + h(x_1, x_2, p_2) \quad (43)$$

$L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}})$:

$$H(x_1, x_2, x_3, p_1, p_2, p_3) = p_1 \dot{x} + p_2 \ddot{x} + p_3 \ddot{\ddot{x}} - L \quad (44)$$

$$\equiv p_1 x_2 + p_2 x_3 + h(x_1, x_2, x_3, p_3) \quad (45)$$

Instability: H is linear in almost all momenta

We see that, starting from the case $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$
(the first "higher-order" case),

Hamiltonian depends on all momenta but one linearly.
(This causes various kinds of problems.)

Notice, that

- this inevitably holds for all higher-order Lagrangians
- usual, 1-st order, Lagrangian is (in this sense) exceptional

First hint for $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$

Lagrange equation - old look:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} = 0 \quad (46)$$

Lagrange equation - a **new look**:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} \right) \right) = 0 \quad (47)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} \right) \right) = 0 \quad (48)$$

First hint for $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$ (2)

This might serve as an **inspiration** to introduce (p_1, p_2) in Ostrogradsky fashion. Then Lagrange equation becomes

$$\dot{p}_1 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \quad \dot{p}_2 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - p_1 \quad (49)$$

and after some effort one can find (x^1, x^2) as well as $H(x^1, x^2, p_1, p_2)$.

First hint for $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}})$

Lagrange equation - old look:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} - \frac{d^3}{dt^3} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}} = 0 \quad (50)$$

Lagrange equation - a **new** look:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}} \right) \right) \right) = 0 \quad (51)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}} \right) \right) \right) = 0 \quad (52)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{\ddot{x}}} \right) \right) \right) = 0 \quad (53)$$

First hint for $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}})$ (2)

This might serve as an **inspiration** to introduce (p_1, p_2, p_3) in Ostrogradsky fashion. Then Lagrange equation becomes

$$\dot{p}_1 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \quad \dot{p}_2 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - p_1 \quad \dot{p}_3 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} - p_2 \quad (54)$$

and after some effort one can find (x^1, x^2, x^3) as well as $H(x^1, x^2, x^3, p_1, p_2, p_3)$.

Second hint: recall good old $L(x, \dot{x})$

Start with the **action integral**

$$S[x] := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(x, \dot{x}) dt \quad (55)$$

Then the **variation** of S turns out to be

$$\delta S = \dots = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \mathcal{E}^L \delta x + [p \delta x]_{t_1}^{t_2} \quad (56)$$

Here the **Euler-Lagrange expression** is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}^L := \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) \quad (57)$$

and the **canonical momentum** is

$$p := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \quad (58)$$

Second hint: recall good old $L(x, \dot{x})$ (2)

This is standardly treated as a means to infer

$$\mathcal{E}^L = 0 \quad \text{Euler-Lagrange equation} \quad (59)$$

from the assumptions

$$\delta S = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta x|_{t_1} = \delta x|_{t_2} = 0 \quad (60)$$

Second hint: recall good old $L(x, \dot{x})$ (3)

One can, however, also use the result (56)

to **identify** the **canonical pair** (x, p) :

It quietly sits at the **end** of the expression (56):

$$\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \mathcal{E}^L \delta x + [p \delta x]_{t_1}^{t_2} \quad (61)$$

Apply the trick to $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$

Now, we have

$$S[x] := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) dt \quad (62)$$

Then (check!)

$$\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \mathcal{E}^L \delta x + [p_1 \delta x]_{t_1}^{t_2} + [p_2 \delta \dot{x}]_{t_1}^{t_2} \quad (63)$$

where the **canonical momenta** (p_1, p_2) are nothing but the **Ostrogradsky variables**

$$p_1 := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} \quad p_2 := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} \quad (64)$$

Apply the trick to $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$ (2)

This might be treated as a means to infer
Euler-Lagrange equation (6)

$$\mathcal{E}^L = 0 \quad (65)$$

from the assumptions

$$\delta S = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta x|_{t_1} = \delta x|_{t_2} = \delta \dot{x}|_{t_1} = \delta \dot{x}|_{t_2} = 0 \quad (66)$$

Apply the trick to $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$ (3)

One can, however, also use the result (63)

to **identify** the **canonical pairs** (x^1, p_1) and (x^2, p_2) :

They quietly sit at the **end** of the expression (63):

$$\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \mathcal{E}^L \delta x + [p_1 \delta x]_{t_1}^{t_2} + [p_2 \delta \dot{x}]_{t_1}^{t_2} \quad (67)$$

So we see, that the **remaining Ostrogradsky** variables are

$$x^1 = x \quad x^2 = \dot{x} \quad (68)$$

Apply the trick to $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$ (4)

Notice: the condition

$$\delta x|_{t_1} = \delta x|_{t_2} = \delta \dot{x}|_{t_1} = \delta \dot{x}|_{t_2} = 0 \quad (69)$$

means that **both** x **and** \dot{x} are to be **fixed** at both t_1 and t_2 in the course of the variation. This is OK. Our equation is of the **4-th** order, it needs **initial conditions**

$$(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}})|_{t_1} = \text{given} \quad (70)$$

Instead, one can (for small enough $(t_2 - t_1)$) prescribe

$$(x, \dot{x})|_{t_1} = \text{given, plus } (x, \dot{x})|_{t_2} = \text{given} \quad (71)$$

Etc ... apply the trick to $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{\ddot{x}})$

Here one gets

$$\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \mathcal{E}^L \delta x + [p_1 \delta x]_{t_1}^{t_2} + [p_2 \delta \dot{x}]_{t_1}^{t_2} + [p_3 \delta \ddot{x}]_{t_1}^{t_2} \quad (72)$$

So we can, again,

identify the canonical pairs (x^1, p_1) , (x^2, p_2) and (x^3, p_3)

at the end of the expression (72). They are exactly Ostrogradsky variables (36), (37), (38).

The Hamiltonian: e.g. from conservation of energy

Standard computation: consider $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, t)$. Then, using Lagrange equation in the form (49),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dL}{dt} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \dot{x} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \ddot{x} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{x}} \dddot{x} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \\ &= \dot{p}_1 \dot{x} + (p_1 + \dot{p}_2) \ddot{x} + p_2 \dddot{x} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (p_1 \dot{x} + p_2 \ddot{x}) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{dH}{dt} \equiv \frac{d}{dt} (p_1 \dot{x} + p_2 \ddot{x} - L) = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}$$

The Hamiltonian: e.g. from conservation of energy (2)

So, if the system is invariant w.r.t.

$$t \mapsto t + \text{const.} \quad (73)$$

i.e. if

$$L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, t) = L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) \quad (74)$$

we get conservation of energy

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = 0 \quad H := p_1 \dot{x} + p_2 \ddot{x} - L \quad (75)$$

Action as a function of coordinates

Still another view:

Consider action integral

$$S(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{x_0, t_0}^{\mathbf{x}, t} L(x(t), \dot{x}(t)) dt \quad (76)$$

computed

- along the **real trajectory** (= obeying Lagrange equations)
- from a reference point x_0 at t_0
- to **the** point x at t

Action as a function of coordinates (2)

Then (see, e.g., Landau-Lifshitz, Mechanics, § 43), it holds

$$\frac{\partial S(x, t)}{\partial x^a} = p_a \quad \frac{\partial S(x, t)}{\partial t} = -H \quad (77)$$

Here

$$p_a = p_a(x, t) \quad H = H(x, t) \quad (78)$$

are the values of the **canonical momentum** p_a and the **energy** H at the endpoint of the real trajectory.

What changes for $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$?

Now, we have

$$S(x, v, t) = \int_{x_0, v_0, t_0}^{x, v, t} L(x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ddot{x}(t)) dt \quad (79)$$

(here $v = \dot{x}$) computed

- along the **real trajectory** (= obeying Lagrange equations)
- from a reference point (x_0, v_0) at t_0
- to **the point** (x, v) at t

What changes for $L(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$? (2)

Then, it holds

$$\frac{\partial S(x, v, t)}{\partial x^a} = p_{1a} \quad \frac{\partial S(x, v, t)}{\partial v^a} = p_{2a} \quad \frac{\partial S(x, v, t)}{\partial t} = -H \quad (80)$$

so that

$$\delta S = p_{1a} \delta x^a + p_{2a} \delta v^a - H \delta t \quad (81)$$

Here

$$\delta S := S(x + \delta x, v + \delta v, t + \delta t) - S(x, v, t) \quad (82)$$

Why the topic is relevant today

Pais, Uhlenbeck [3] (1950):

It is investigated whether **suitable generalizations** of the field equations of current field theories to **equations of higher order** may be of help in **eliminating the divergent features** of the present theory.

It turns out to be **difficult, if feasible**, to reconcile in this way the requirements of convergence, of positive definiteness of the free field energy, and of a strictly causal behavior of the state vector of a physical system. ...

A procedure for deriving a Hamiltonian corresponding to (13) was given long ago by Ostrogradski ...

Why the topic is relevant today (2)

Woodard [1] (2006):

I begin by reviewing a **powerful no-go theorem** which **pervades and constrains fundamental theory** so completely that **most people assume its consequence without thinking**. This is the theorem of Ostrogradski ...

Why the topic is relevant **today** (3)

Woodard [2] (2009):

... the renormalization of perturbative quantum general relativity **requires** that the equations of motion be **changed** to include terms with **up to four derivatives**. ... it is also subject to a **virulent instability** that is totally inconsistent with the observed reality of a universe which is 13.7 billion years old.

Why the topic is relevant **today** (4)

Woodard [3] (2015):

The resulting instability imposes **by far the most powerful restriction** on fundamental, interacting, continuum Lagrangian field theories.

For Further Reading (1)



M.V. Ostrogradsky.

Memoires sur les equations differentielles relatives au probleme des isoperimetres.

Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg 6 (4), 385 (1850)

For Further Reading (1)



M.V. Ostrogradsky.

Memoires sur les equations differentielles relatives au probleme des isoperimetres.

Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg 6 (4), 385 (1850)



E.T. Whittaker.

A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies, p.266.

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (1960), 4th edition

For Further Reading (1)



M.V. Ostrogradsky.

Memoires sur les equations differentielles relatives au probleme des isoperimetres.

Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg 6 (4), 385 (1850)



E.T. Whittaker.

A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies, p.266.

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (1960), 4th edition



A. Pais and G.E. Uhlenbeck

On Field Theories with Non-Localized Action.

Phys. Rev. 79 145-165 (1950).

For Further Reading (1)



M.V. Ostrogradsky.

Memoires sur les equations differentielles relatives au probleme des isoperimetres.

Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg 6 (4), 385 (1850)



E.T. Whittaker.

A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies, p.266.

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (1960), 4th edition



A. Pais and G.E. Uhlenbeck

On Field Theories with Non-Localized Action.

Phys. Rev. 79 145-165 (1950).

For Further Reading (2)



R.P. Woodard

Avoiding Dark Energy with $1/R$ Modifications of Gravity.

arXiv:astro-ph/0601672v2 (6 Feb 2006)

For Further Reading (2)



R.P. Woodard

Avoiding Dark Energy with $1/R$ Modifications of Gravity.

arXiv:astro-ph/0601672v2 (6 Feb 2006)



R.P. Woodard

How Far Are We from the Quantum Theory of Gravity?.

arXiv (gr-qc): 0907.4238v1. (24 Jul 2009)

For Further Reading (2)



R.P. Woodard

Avoiding Dark Energy with $1/R$ Modifications of Gravity.

arXiv:astro-ph/0601672v2 (6 Feb 2006)



R.P. Woodard

How Far Are We from the Quantum Theory of Gravity?.

arXiv (gr-qc): 0907.4238v1. (24 Jul 2009)



R.P. Woodard

The Theorem of Ostrogradsky.

arxiv (hep-th): 1506.02210v1. (7 June 2015)

For Further Reading (2)



R.P. Woodard

Avoiding Dark Energy with $1/R$ Modifications of Gravity.

arXiv:astro-ph/0601672v2 (6 Feb 2006)



R.P. Woodard

How Far Are We from the Quantum Theory of Gravity?.

arXiv (gr-qc): 0907.4238v1. (24 Jul 2009)



R.P. Woodard

The Theorem of Ostrogradsky.

arxiv (hep-th): 1506.02210v1. (7 June 2015)