

Saint-Venant's compatibility condition and Einstein tensor

Marián Fecko

Department of Theoretical Physics
Comenius University in Bratislava
fecko@fmph.uniba.sk

Student Colloquium and School on Mathematical Physics,
Stará Lesná, Slovakia, August 22 - 28, 2021

We will learn:

- What is Saint-Venant's **operator**

We will learn:

- What is Saint-Venant's **operator**
- What Saint-Venant's **compatibility condition** says

We will learn:

- What is Saint-Venant's **operator**
- What Saint-Venant's **compatibility condition** says
- How **Riemann tensor** may be parametrized in **2D**

We will learn:

- What is Saint-Venant's **operator**
- What Saint-Venant's **compatibility condition** says
- How **Riemann tensor** may be parametrized in **2D**
- How **Riemann tensor** may be parametrized in **3D**

We will learn:

- What is Saint-Venant's **operator**
- What Saint-Venant's **compatibility condition** says
- How **Riemann tensor** may be parametrized in **2D**
- How **Riemann tensor** may be parametrized in **3D**
- How **Einstein tensor** in **3D** is related to **Saint-Venant's operator**

We will learn:

- What is Saint-Venant's **operator**
- What Saint-Venant's **compatibility condition** says
- How **Riemann tensor** may be parametrized in **2D**
- How **Riemann tensor** may be parametrized in **3D**
- How **Einstein tensor** in **3D** is related to **Saint-Venant's operator**
- **Why**, then, Saint-Venant's compatibility condition is true

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Saint-Venant's operator
- 3 Riemann curvature tensor in 2D and 3D
- 4 Saint-Venant operator and Einstein tensor
- 5 Why Saint-Venant's compatibility condition is true

Reminder - gradient vector fields in 3D

There is a well-known query in vector analysis:
Under what circumstances a **given vector field** v
happens to be a **gradient** field?

$$\text{when } v \stackrel{?}{=} \nabla\psi \quad \text{i.e.} \quad v_i \stackrel{?}{=} \partial_i\psi \quad (1)$$

And there is also a well-known (local) answer:

$$\boxed{v = \nabla\psi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{curl } v = 0} \quad (2)$$

Reminder - **gradient** vector fields in **3D** (2)

So, there is a **differential operator** on vector fields

$$\boxed{\text{curl} \equiv \nabla \times \quad (\text{curl } v)_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j v_k} \quad (3)$$

with a key property that, when applied on v , the result

- being zero **guaranties** existence of potential ψ
- being non-zero **obstructs** existence of potential ψ .

Reminder - **gradient** vector fields in **3D** (3)

There are **two implications** involved in a **single equivalence** (2).
It turns out that one of them is easy part
whereas the other hard part of the statement.

Easy part:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\operatorname{curl} \nabla \psi)_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (\nabla \psi)_k \\
 &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \partial_k \psi \\
 &= \epsilon_{i[jk]} \partial_{[j} \partial_{k]} \psi \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hard part: **Poincaré lemma** for differential forms (see [Fecko 2006]).

Reminder - strain tensor in linear elasticity

In linear elasticity, for a given displacement (vector) field u , we compute corresponding

$$\text{strain tensor} \quad \boxed{\epsilon_{ij} := \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i} \quad (4)$$

Its geometrical (and therefrom physical) meaning:

$$\epsilon_{ij} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{no deformation caused by } u \quad (5)$$

Reminder - strain tensor in linear elasticity (2)

Notice that strain tensor is always **symmetric second rank** tensor

$$\boxed{\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{ji}} \quad (6)$$

Therefore a natural query arises:

Under what circumstances

a **given symmetric second-rank tensor** h

happens to be a **strain tensor** for **some** displacement field u ?

$$\text{when} \quad h_{ij} \stackrel{?}{=} \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i \quad (7)$$

Adhémar Jean Claude Barré de Saint-Venant (1797 - 1886)

The answer to the query was found, in 1864, by French mechanic and mathematician Barré de Saint-Venant.

(The figure is from Wikipedia.)



Operator curl curl

In order to formulate Saint-Venant's result,
we need, first, to define

(2-nd order differential) operator
 curl curl on 2-nd rank symmetric tensors
(rather than on vectors).

It is given by formula

$$\boxed{(\text{curl curl } h)_{ij} := \epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd}\partial_a\partial_c h_{bd}} \quad (8)$$

(So as if “usual” curl was applied separately on both indices.)
This operator is also called **Saint-Venant operator**.

Symmetry of the result

Notice that operator curl curl indeed returns
symmetric output tensor for
symmetric input tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{curl curl } h)_{ji} &= \epsilon_{jab} \epsilon_{icd} \partial_a \partial_c h_{bd} \\
 &= \epsilon_{icd} \epsilon_{jab} \partial_a \partial_c h_{bd} \\
 &= \epsilon_{iab} \epsilon_{jcd} \partial_c \partial_a h_{db} \\
 &= \epsilon_{iab} \epsilon_{jcd} \partial_a \partial_c h_{bd} \\
 &= (\text{curl curl } h)_{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

Saint-Venant's compatibility condition

Now we are already prepared to understand what **Saint-Venant's compatibility condition** claims.

Namely, it is the following statement:

For **symmetric** tensor $(h_{ij} = h_{ji})$,

$$\boxed{(\text{curl curl } h)_{ij} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad h_{ij} = \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i} \quad (9)$$

Easy part of Saint-Venant's compatibility condition

There are **two implications** involved in a **single equivalence** (9). As it was the case for gradient fields, one of them is easy part whereas the other hard part of the statement.

Easy part:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{curl curl } \epsilon)_{ij} &= \epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd}\partial_a\partial_c(\partial_b u_d + \partial_d u_b) \\
 &= \epsilon_{i[ab]}\epsilon_{jcd}\partial_{(a}\partial_c\partial_b)u_d + \epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{j[cd]}\partial_{(a}\partial_c\partial_d)u_b \\
 &= 0 + 0 = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hard part: The main topic of this lecture :-)

Summing up

Let us recapitulate:

For **vector** fields

- some of them happen to be **gradient** fields
- it is so **iff** application of **curl** on it **vanishes**

For **symmetric 2-nd rank tensor** fields

- some of them happen to be **strain tensor** fields
- it is so **iff** application of **curl curl** on it **vanishes**

Reminder: Symmetries of Riemann curvature tensor R_{ijkl}

Complete Riemann curvature tensor R_{ijkl}

has, a priori, n^4 components.

However, because of its (index) symmetries

$$R_{abcd} \stackrel{1.}{=} -R_{bacd} \quad (10)$$

$$\stackrel{2.}{=} -R_{abdc} \quad (11)$$

$$\stackrel{3.}{=} +R_{cdab} \quad (12)$$

$$R_{a[bcd]} \stackrel{4.}{=} 0 \quad (13)$$

(for Levi-Civita connection)

$$\text{number of independent components} = \frac{n^2(n^2 - 1)}{12} \quad (14)$$

So, as an example, it reduces to just 1 for $n = 2$ and to 6 for $n = 3$.

Warm up: Riemann curvature tensor in 2D

In 2D (see 15.6.10 in [Fecko2006]), the symmetries lead to parametrization (check!)

$$R_{abcd} = \epsilon_{ab}\epsilon_{cd}b \quad (15)$$

Computation of scalar curvature R gives

$$R = 2b \quad (16)$$

and therefore, finally,

$$R_{abcd} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab}\epsilon_{cd}R \quad (17)$$

(Btw., $b = R/2$ is just the celebrated Gauss curvature K .)

Riemann curvature tensor in 3D

Particular case of 3D in not treated at all in [Fecko2006]!

So, it is indeed a truly awkward book!!

Shame on it!!!

Fortunately, we fill the gap in our education, here!!!!

Symmetries lead to parametrization (check!)

$$R_{abcd} = \epsilon_{abi}\epsilon_{cdj}B_{ij} \quad (18)$$

in terms of a (yet unknown) symmetric tensor B_{ij}

$$B_{ij} = B_{ji} \quad (19)$$

Riemann curvature tensor in 3D (2)

Computation of Ricci tensor R_{ij} and scalar curvature R gives

$$R_{ij} = \delta_{ij}B - B_{ij} \quad (20)$$

$$R = 2B \quad (21)$$

where

$$B := B_{jj} \quad (22)$$

We use components w.r.t. an orthonormal frame, as we already did in 2D. So $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ and raising/lowering of indices is trivial.

Riemann curvature tensor in 3D (3)

From (20) and (21) we get

$$B_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} Rg_{ij} - R_{ij} \equiv -G_{ij} \quad (23)$$

where

$$G_{ij} := R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} Rg_{ij} \quad \text{Einstein tensor} \quad (24)$$

So, the parametrization (18) actually reads

$$R_{abcd} = -\epsilon_{abi}\epsilon_{cdj}G_{ij} \quad (25)$$

Riemann curvature tensor in 3D (4)

So, in 3D, we can reconstruct **complete** Riemann tensor from just **Einstein** tensor alone!

Because of both-directions way $G_{ij} \leftrightarrow R_{ij}$

$$G_{ij} = R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ij} \quad (26)$$

$$R_{ij} = G_{ij} + \frac{1}{2-n}Gg_{ij} \quad (27)$$

(for $n \neq 2$), Ricci can be obtained from Einstein and, consequently, we can reconstruct **complete** Riemann tensor from just **Ricci** tensor as well!

Summing up

Let us recapitulate:

In **2D**, one can reconstruct

- **Riemann** tensor R_{abcd} from just **scalar curvature** R alone
- so just **a single** independent component in R_{abcd}

In **3D**, one can reconstruct

- **Riemann** tensor R_{abcd} from just **Einstein** tensor G_{ab} alone
- **Riemann** tensor R_{abcd} from just **Ricci** tensor R_{ab} alone
- so just **six** $(3(3+1)/2)$ independent components in R_{abcd}

Einstein tensor for $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \epsilon h_{ij}$

Consider **variation** of **flat** metric

$$\delta_{ij} \mapsto g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \epsilon h_{ij} \quad (28)$$

What the corresponding variation of **Einstein tensor** looks like?

For **flat** metric δ , complete Riemann tensor vanishes, so, consequently, Einstein tensor **vanishes**.

Therefore Einstein tensor for $g = \delta + \epsilon h$ is necessarily of the form

$$G_{ij}[\delta + \epsilon h] = G_{ij}[\delta] + \epsilon(\dots)_{ij} = \epsilon(\dots)_{ij} \quad (29)$$

We want to compute $(\dots)_{ij}$ explicitly.

Einstein tensor for $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \epsilon h_{ij}$ (2)

Recall standard **general** formulas for computing R^i_{jkl} for given g_{ij} :

$$\Gamma_{ijk}[g] = \frac{1}{2}(g_{ij,k} + g_{ik,j} - g_{jk,i}) \quad (30)$$

$$\Gamma^i_{jk}[g] = g^{il}\Gamma_{ljk}[g] \quad (31)$$

$$R^i_{jkl}[\Gamma] = \Gamma^i_{jl,k} - \Gamma^i_{jk,l} + \Gamma^m_{jl}\Gamma^i_{mk} - \Gamma^m_{jk}\Gamma^i_{ml} \quad (32)$$

Here, we have, to **first order** in ϵ ,

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \epsilon h_{ij} \quad \text{so that} \quad g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \epsilon h_{ij} \quad (33)$$

Einstein tensor for $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \epsilon h_{ij}$ (3)

Then, in **our case** (up to **first order**), step by step,

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i[g] = \Gamma_{ijk}[g] = \epsilon \Gamma_{ijk}[h] \quad (34)$$

$$R^i_{jkl}[g] \equiv R^i_{jkl}[\Gamma[g]] = \Gamma^i_{jl,k}[g] - \Gamma^i_{jk,l}[g] \quad (35)$$

$$\equiv 2\Gamma^i_{j[l,k]}[g] \equiv 2\epsilon \Gamma^i_{j[l,k]}[h] \quad (36)$$

Using (30), we get the **full Riemann** tensor in the form

$$\boxed{R^i_{jkl}[\delta + \epsilon h] = R_{ijkl}[\delta + \epsilon h] = \epsilon(h_{i[l,k]j} - h_{j[l,k]i})} \quad (37)$$

Einstein tensor for $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \epsilon h_{ij}$ (4)

Then, by contractions, we get **Ricci tensor** and **scalar curvature** as follows:

$$R_{ij}[\delta + \epsilon h] = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon(h_{ik,kj} + h_{jk,ki} - h_{kk,ij} - h_{ij,kk}) \quad (38)$$

$$R[\delta + \epsilon h] = \epsilon(h_{jk,jk} - h_{jj,kk}) \quad (39)$$

This means that **Einstein tensor**

$$G_{ij}[\delta + \epsilon h] \equiv R_{ij}[\delta + \epsilon h] + \frac{1}{2}R[\delta + \epsilon h](\delta_{ij} + \epsilon h_{ij}) \quad (40)$$

becomes a sum of **six terms** containing expressions of structure $h_{ij,kl}$ with various combinations of indices.

Einstein tensor for $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \epsilon h_{ij}$ in 3D

One can check that the six terms may be **compactly written** as follows:

$$G_{ij}[\delta + \epsilon h] = \epsilon \frac{1}{2} 3! \delta_a^{[b} \delta_i^k \delta_j^{\ell]} h_{jl,ik} \quad (41)$$

Because of the well-known identity (in 3D)

$$3! \delta_{aij}^{bkl} \equiv 3! \delta_a^{[b} \delta_i^k \delta_j^{\ell]} = \epsilon_{aij} \epsilon^{bkl} \quad (42)$$

this can be rewritten as

$$G_{ij}[\delta + \epsilon h] = \epsilon \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{aij} \epsilon_{bkl} h_{jl,ik} \quad (43)$$

Saint-Venant operator on the scene again!

But notice that at the r.h.s. of (43) we can identify nothing but the good old **Saint-Venant operator** curl curl from (8)!

$$\boxed{(\text{curl curl } h)_{ij} := \epsilon_{aij}\epsilon_{bkl}h_{jl,ik}} \quad (44)$$

This leads us to the most important formula of the presentation.

It is so important that it deserves a separate frame :-)

Saint-Venant operator and Einstein tensor

The **most important formula** of the presentation:

$$\text{in 3D} \quad \boxed{G_{ab}(\delta + \epsilon h) = \epsilon \frac{1}{2} (\text{curl curl } h)_{ab}} \quad (45)$$

It reveals that (in 3D) the Saint-Venant operator is (by definition)

- the **first variation** w.r.t. **metric** tensor
- of **Einstein tensor**
- evaluated at **flat** (= Euclidean) metric.

Why the formula (45) is important for us

The formula (45) is important for us
because we can use

standard knowledge from “general relativity”
(mainly properties of **flat space** :-)

to prove **easily the hard part**
of the Saint-Venant **compatibility condition!**

Hard part of the Saint-Venant's compatibility condition

So we want to understand

why the **hard part**

of the Saint-Venant's compatibility condition,

i.e. the statement that,

for **symmetric** tensor $(h_{ij} = h_{ji})$,

$$\boxed{(\text{curl curl } h)_{ij} = 0 \quad \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \quad h_{ij} = \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i} \quad (46)$$

holds.

Hard part of the Saint-Venant's compatibility condition (2)

Well, **assume** that, in **3D**,
a **symmetric** tensor ($h_{ij} = h_{ji}$) satisfies

$$(\text{curl curl } h)_{ij} = 0 \quad (47)$$

Then

1. From (45)

$$G_{ab}(\delta + \epsilon h) = \epsilon \frac{1}{2} (\text{curl curl } h)_{ab} \quad (48)$$

we deduce that

$$\boxed{G_{ab}(\delta + \epsilon h) = 0} \quad (49)$$

Hard part of the Saint-Venant's compatibility condition (2)

2. From (25)

$$R_{abcd} = -\epsilon_{abi}\epsilon_{cdj}G_{ij} \quad (50)$$

we can deduce, then, that

complete Riemann tensor (for $g = \delta + \epsilon h$) **vanishes**

$$R_{abcd}(\delta + \epsilon h) = 0 \quad (51)$$

3. Of course, the same is true for “unperturbed” **Euclidean** space

$$R_{abcd}(\delta) = 0 \quad (52)$$

Hard part of the Saint-Venant's compatibility condition (3)

4. Folklore wisdom from “general relativity” says, that if

- connection is **metric**
- connection is **symmetric** (torsion-free)
- **Riemann** tensor **vanishes**, $R_{abcd}(\Gamma(g)) = 0$

then

there exist **adapted coordinates**, say $z_a \leftrightarrow g$, in which the metric is **Euclidean**

$$g = \delta_{ab} dz_a \otimes dz_b \quad (53)$$

Hard part of the Saint-Venant's compatibility condition (4)

5. Then 2. and 3. say, that

$$\delta \leftrightarrow x_a \quad \delta + \epsilon h \leftrightarrow y_a \quad (54)$$

i.e.

$$\delta = \delta_{ab} dx_a \otimes dx_b \quad \delta + \epsilon h = \delta_{ab} dy_a \otimes dy_b \quad (55)$$

(coordinates x_a are adapted to δ , y_a are adapted to $\delta + \epsilon h$).

Hard part of the Saint-Venant's compatibility condition (5)

6. Metric tensors δ and $\delta + \epsilon h$ are **close** to one another. Therefore also **corresponding adapted coordinates** are necessarily **close** to one another:

$$y_a = x_a + \epsilon u_a(x) \quad \text{for some functions } u_a(x) \quad (56)$$

and, consequently,

$$dy_a = dx_a + \epsilon u_{a,c} dx_c \equiv (\delta_{ac} + \epsilon u_{a,c}) dx_c \quad (57)$$

Hard part of the Saint-Venant's compatibility condition (6)

7. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta + \epsilon h &= \delta_{ab} dy_a \otimes dy_b \\
 &= \delta_{ab} (\delta_{ac} + \epsilon u_{a,c}) dx_c \otimes (\delta_{bd} + \epsilon u_{b,d}) dx_d \\
 &= \delta_{ab} dx_a \otimes dx_b + \epsilon (u_{a,b} + u_{b,a}) dx_a \otimes dx_b \\
 &= \delta + \epsilon (u_{a,b} + u_{b,a}) dx_a \otimes dx_b
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, finally,

$$h = (u_{a,b} + u_{b,a}) dx_a \otimes dx_b \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \boxed{h_{ab} = u_{a,b} + u_{b,a}} \quad (58)$$

This is exactly what Saint-Venant's compatibility condition says.

Damned nice place to contemplate



on **Saint-Venant's compatibility condition**;
in particular on how it is related to **Einstein tensor**.

From Kôprovský štít, August 25, 2021 (a trip within The School :-)

From the trip



On the peak,
with Tomáš (left)
and Dominik
(middle).
Pretty nice trip,
indeed.

Kôprovský štít, (“Dill” Peak), August 25, 2021

For Further Reading (1)



M. Eastwood.

Ricci curvature and the mechanics of solids.

Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz. 37 238–241 (2010)

For Further Reading (1)



M. Eastwood.

Ricci curvature and the mechanics of solids.

Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz. 37 238–241 (2010)



Ch. Amrouche, P.G. Ciarlet, L. Gratie, S. Kesavan

On Saint Venant's compatibility conditions and Poincaré's lemma.

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 887–891 (2006)

For Further Reading (1)

-  M. Eastwood.
Ricci curvature and the mechanics of solids.
Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz. 37 238–241 (2010)
-  Ch. Amrouche, P.G. Ciarlet, L. Gratie, S. Kesavan
On Saint Venant's compatibility conditions and Poincaré's lemma.
C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 887–891 (2006)
-  Philippe G. Ciarlet, C. Mardareb, M. Shen
Recovery of a displacement field from its linearized strain tensor field in curvilinear coordinates.
C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 344 535–540 (2007)

For Further Reading (1)

-  M. Eastwood.
Ricci curvature and the mechanics of solids.
Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz. 37 238–241 (2010)
-  Ch. Amrouche, P.G. Ciarlet, L. Gratie, S. Kesavan
On Saint Venant's compatibility conditions and Poincaré's lemma.
C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 887–891 (2006)
-  Philippe G. Ciarlet, C. Mardareb, M. Shen
Recovery of a displacement field from its linearized strain tensor field in curvilinear coordinates.
C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 344 535–540 (2007)

For Further Reading (2)



M. Fecko.

Differential geometry and Lie groups for physicists.

Cambridge University Press 2006 (paperback 2011)

For Further Reading (2)



M. Fecko.

Differential geometry and Lie groups for physicists.

Cambridge University Press 2006 (paperback 2011)